Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/608,515	ERROR ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	BRADFORD F. FRITZ	2442
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) Bradford F. Fritz.	(3) Amir H. Raubvogel.	
(2) Andrew Caldwell.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 22 January 2009.		
Type: a) Z Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yes e)☑ No. If Yes, brief description:		
Claim(s) discussed: 1,		
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Burema</u> , <u>Sharder</u> .		
Agreement with respect to the claims f)□ was reached. g)☑ was not reached. h)□ N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Disussed the previous Office action. Applicant discussed differences between the orior and or record and the claimed invention. No appearent was reached.</u>		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REDLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE NITREVIEW. (See MFPE Section 7.13A) if a negly to the as Office action has already been fined. APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERNIEW DATE. OR THE MALLING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER. TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER. TO requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.		